27

28

1	Jane Roe CL 16 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04837-CRB
2	et al., No. 5.24-cv-04657-CRB
3	Jane Roe CL 17 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04915-CRB
4	Jane Roe CL 21 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
5	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05525-CRB
6	Jane Roe CL 24 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
7	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05536-CRB
8	Jane Roe CL 33 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05691-CRB
9	Jane Roe CL 34 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
10	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05696-CRB
11	Jane Roe CL 36 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
12	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05720-CRB
13	Jane Roe CL 37 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
14	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05728-CRB
15	Jane Roe CL 38 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05729-CRB
16	Jane Roe CL 39 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
17	et al., No. 3:24-ev-05732-CRB
18	Jane Roe Cl 40 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
19	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05734-CRB
20	Jane Roe CL 41 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05736-CRB
21	·
22	Jane Roe CL 43 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05741-CRB
23	Jane Roe CL 44 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
24	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05744-CRB
25	Jane Roe CL 48 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
26	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05810-CRB
27	Jane Roe CL 53 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05831-CRB
28	

1	Jana Poo CI 54 v. Uhan Taahnalagias I	Ina
2	Jane Roe CL 54 v. Uber Technologies, 1 et al., No. 3:24-cv-05832-CRB	nc.,
3	Jane Roe CL 56 v. Uber Technologies, 1	nc.,
4	et al., No. 3:24-cv-05837-CRB	
5	Jane Roe CL 65 v. Uber Technologies, 1 et al., No. 3:24-cv-06189-CRB	nc.,
6	,	
7	Jane Roe CL 67 v. Uber Technologies, 1 et al., No. 3:24-cv-06191-CRB	nc.,
8	Jane Roe CL 69 v. Uber Technologies, 1	Inc.,
9	et al., No. 3:24-cv-06862-CRB	
10	Jane Roe CL 70 v. Uber Technologies, 1	nc.,
11	et al., No. 3:24-cv-06863-CRB	
12	Jane Roe CL 71 v. Uber Technologies, 1 et al., No. 3:24-cv-06864-CRB	nc.,
13	Jane Roe CL 73 v. Uber Technologies, 1	Inc
14	et al., No. 3:24-cv-07030-CRB	пс.,
15	Jane Roe CL 74 v. Uber Technologies, 1	nc.,
16	et al., No. 3:24-cv-07152-CRB	
17	Jane Roe CL 76 v. Uber Technologies, 1	nc.,
18	et al., No. 3:24-cv-07569-CRB	
19	Jane Roe CL 77 v. Uber Technologies, 1 et al., No. 3:24-cv-07571-CRB	nc.,
20	Jane Roe CL 78 v. Uber Technologies, 1	Inc
21	et al., No. 3:24-cv-07584-CRB	,
22	Jane Roe CL 79 v. Uber Technologies, 1	nc.,
23	et al., No. 3:24-cv-07587-CRB	
24		
25		
26		
27		
- 1	II	

28

KYLE N. SMITH (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted) 1 ksmith@paulweiss.com JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted) 2 jphillips@paulweiss.com PAÜL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON 3 & GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW 4 Washington DC, 20006 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 5 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420 6 Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., 7 RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - iv -

DECLARATION OF KYLE SMITH

- I, Kyle Smith, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:
- 1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arlington, Virginia. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.'s, Rasier, LLC's, and Rasier-CA, LLC's (collectively, "Uber's") portion of the joint letter brief regarding certain Cutter Law P.C. ("Cutter") Plaintiffs' compliance with Pretrial Order No. 5 and Pretrial Order No. 10.
- 2. I am a partner at the law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, representing Uber in this MDL as well as in Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5188, *In Re: Uber Rideshare Cases*, Case No. CJC-21-005188 (the "JCCP"). I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals and the Bar of the State of New York Court of Appeals, Third Department. I make this declaration based upon matters within my own personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Attached to this declaration as **Exhibit A** is a table identifying 14 Plaintiffs represented by Cutter who have failed to submit the information Pretrial Order No. 5 requires.
- 4. Attached to this declaration as **Exhibit B** is a table identifying 31 Plaintiffs represented by Cutter who have failed to submit Plaintiff Fact Sheets.
- 5. Attached to this declaration as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of a letter Uber sent Cutter on December 24, 2024 identifying Plaintiffs represented by Cutter with overdue discovery. The letter identifies the 14 Plaintiffs listed in Exhibit A as Plaintiffs who had failed to submit timely Pretrial Order No. 5 information. The letter identifies the 31 Plaintiffs listed in Exhibit B as Plaintiffs who had failed to provide timely Plaintiff Fact Sheets. The letter also lists other Plaintiffs who are not at issue in this dispute.
- 6. The parties met and conferred on December 31, 2024 to discuss the cases listed in Exhibits A and B as well as other cases not at issue in this dispute. Following this meet and confer, the parties were unable to resolve the dispute set out in the joint letter.

28 | ///

///

K. SMITH DECL. ISO DEFS.' PORTION OF JOINT LETTER RE:

CUTTER PLS.' COMPLIANCE WITH DISCOVERY ORDERS

Filed 01/13/25

Page 6 of 6

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB